```
STEPHANIE S. CHRISTENSEN
 1
    Acting United States Attorney
 2
    SCOTT M. GARRINGER
    Assistant United States Attorney
 3
    Chief, Criminal Division
    SHAWN J. NELSON (Cal. Bar No. 185149)
 4
    GREGORY BERNSTEIN (Cal. Bar No. 299204)
    KEITH D. ELLISON (Cal. Bar No. 307070)
    GREGG E. MARMARO (Cal. Bar No. 338627)
 5
    Assistant United States Attorneys
 6
         1200 United States Courthouse
         312 North Spring Street
 7
         Los Angeles, California 90012
         Telephone: 213-894-5339/3183/6920/8500
 8
         Facsimile: 213-894-0142
         E-mail: shawn.nelson@usdoj.gov
 9
                 gregory.bernstein@usdoj.gov
                 keith.ellison2@usdoj.gov
10
                 gregg.marmaro@usdoj.gov
11
    Attorneys for Plaintiff
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
12
13
                         UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
14
                    FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
15
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
                                        No. 2:18-CR-00173(A)-GW-3
16
              Plaintiff,
                                        GOVERNMENT'S PROPOSED VOIR DIRE
17
                                        Trial Date: August 2, 2022
                   v.
                                        Trial Time: 8:30 a.m.
18
    JOSE LANDA-RODRIGUEZ, et al.,
                                        Location: Courtroom of the
    [#3-GABRIEL ZENDEJAS-CHAVEZ]
                                         Honorable George Wu
19
              Defendants.
20
21
22
         Pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 24(a)(2)(B),
23
    plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel of
24
    record, the United States Attorney for the Central District of
25
    California and Assistant United States Attorneys Shawn J. Nelson,
26
    Gregory Bernstein, Keith D. Ellison, and Gregg E. Marmaro, hereby
27
    files its Proposed Voir Dire and respectfully requests that the Court
```

1	ask prospective jurors the attached questions during voir dire, in	
2	addition to the Court's standard	d voir dire questions.
3	Dated: July 24, 2022July 24,	Respectfully submitted,
4	2022	STEPHANIE S. CHRISTENSEN Acting United States Attorney
5		SCOTT M. GARRINGER
6		Assistant United States Attorney Chief, Criminal Division
7		
8		/S/ Shawn J. Nelson SHAWN J. NELSON
9		GREGORY BERNSTEIN KEITH D. ELLISON
10		GREGG E. MARMARO Assistant United States Attorneys
11		Attorneys for Plaintiff
12		UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

GOVERNMENT'S PROPOSED VOIR DIRE

I. PRIOR EXPERIENCE WITH CRIMINAL CASES/LAW ENFORCEMENT

- 1. Have you or anyone close to you ever been involved in a criminal case either as a victim, defendant, or witness?
 - a. What kind of case?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- b. What was your relationship to that case?
- c. When did the case happen?
- d. Where did the case happen?
- e. Was anyone arrested?
- f. Which law enforcement agency was involved?
- 2. Have you or anyone close to you ever had a negative experience involving the police or any member of law enforcement?
 - a. Who had the experience?
 - b. What was the experience?
 - c. When was the experience?
 - d. Which law enforcement agency was involved?
- e. Do you have any negative feelings about law enforcement or the criminal justice system because of that experience?
- 3. Have you or anyone close to you ever filed a lawsuit or complaint concerning the conduct of a law enforcement officer?
- 4. Have you or anyone close to you ever been accused, arrested, indicted, charged, or convicted in a criminal case (except for infractions and traffic offenses)?
 - a. What kind of case was it?
 - b. When was the case?
 - c. What was the accusation/charge?
- d. What was the outcome?

- 5. Do you have any general feelings or beliefs about law enforcement officers that would prevent you from fairly assessing the credibility of law enforcement officers who may testify in this case?
- 6. Do you have any general feelings or beliefs about the criminal justice system that would prevent you from rendering a fair and impartial verdict in this case?
- 7. Do you know or have contact with any prosecutor, defense attorney, judge, court clerk, or other participant in the criminal justice system?
 - a. If so, please describe.
- b. Would that contact affect your ability to be a fair and impartial juror in this case.
- 8. Do you have any feelings about the fairness or unfairness of the criminal justice system? If so, describe.

II. PRIOR EXPERIENCE WITH GANGS

- 9. Have you or anyone close to you had any experiences with gangs, whether as a member, associate, victim, or neighbor.
 - a. What gang?
 - b. Where?

- 10. Have you ever heard of the Mexican Mafia? If yes, please explain.
- 11. Have you read, seen, or heard any news reports about this case? If yes, explain.

III. VIEWS ON LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES

12. This case involves a multi-year investigation by a Federal Bureau of Investigation ("FBI") Task Force that also includes the Drug Enforcement Administration, the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department, the Pomona Police Department, and the California

Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation, among others. Have you heard anything about or have any feelings about any of these agencies that would affect your ability to treat witnesses from these agencies fairly and review their testimony without any bias?

IV. VIEWS ON CERTAIN LAW ENFORCEMENT TECHNIQUES

- 13. The investigation of this case used investigative techniques like court-authorized wiretaps, undercover recordings, the review of video surveillance, and the review of recorded jail phone calls. Do you have any objection to court-authorized wiretaps, undercover recordings, review of video surveillance, or the review of recorded jail phone calls? If so, please explain.
- 14. The investigation in this case involved the use of defendant's co-conspirators as witnesses against him, commonly referred to as confidential informants, cooperating defendants, or cooperating witnesses. Necessarily, these witnesses are also engaged in criminal conduct and have criminal histories. Do you have any objection to the use of these witnesses to investigate crimes? If yes, please explain.
- 15. Keeping in mind the significant risks that these witnesses often face for cooperating with law enforcement, do you think it is improper for the government to provide these witnesses with benefits like reduced or dismissed charges or monetary compensation? If yes, please explain.
- 16. If you do have objections to the government's use of these witnesses, would that impair your ability to render a fair and impartial verdict in this case?

27 | //

28 | //

V. KNOWLEDGE OF POTENTIAL WITNESSES

17. Are you familiar with any of the following individuals, who may be witnesses in this case? [The Court is respectfully requested to read the government's and defendant's witness lists.]

VI. GENERAL ABILITY TO SERVE AS A JUROR ON THIS CASE

- 18. If you are selected as a juror and hear this case, you will be required to deliberate with other jurors. This will require you to discuss the evidence and the law in this case with the other jurors. Is there anything that leads you to believe that you would be unable or unwilling to engage in such discussions?
- 19. There are some people who, for moral, ethical, or religious reasons, may find it difficult or uncomfortable to pass judgment on the conduct of others. Is there anyone who holds such beliefs, or who might be affected by such beliefs?
- 20. The potential punishment for the offenses charged in the indictment is a matter solely for the judge's discretion and it should never be considered by the jury in any way in arriving at an impartial verdict as to the guilt or innocence of the accused. Will you be able to conduct your duties as a juror in this case without speculating about or being influenced in any way by whatever punishment may or may not be imposed in this case?
- 21. The role of a juror in a case of this type is to decide whether or not the law was broken, and not whether the law itself is a good law or a bad law or should be changed. Can you put aside your feelings on the wisdom of a law and decide the law only on the evidence?

- 22. If the Court instructs you that your verdict must not be based on sympathy, passion, or prejudice, but only on the evidence in this case, will you be able to follow that instruction?
- 23. Is there anything about the charges or defendant in this case that makes you unwilling or reluctant to serve as a juror?
- 24. Is there anything about the charges in this case that makes you unwilling or reluctant to serve as a juror.
- 25. Do you have any particular health or medical concerns that would prevent you from serving as a juror in this case or concentrating on or evaluating the evidence in this case.